



National Program Assessment Electronic Stakeholder Survey Animal Health National Program

**Cyril Gerard Gay, DVM, Ph.D
Senior National program Leader
Animal Production and Protection**

Purpose

- Conduct a “National” Program Assessment
- Obtain genuine, authentic, factual information
- Assess program in a cost effective manner
- Address two main questions:
 - Did the program have impact?
 - What are the priority diseases we should be working on?
- Stakeholders and partners:
 - Producers and Farmers
 - University scientists
 - Industry
 - Trade associations
 - Scientific associations
 - Federal government agencies
 - State government agencies


Survey Design

- Web-based survey created in “Survey Tracker”
- Survey consists of 14 questions
- Survey design: Cyril Gay and Sharon Drumm
- Database of answers stored on ARS web server
- Survey administered by Michael Witles
- Regulated process: Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB 83-1 Form
- Survey submitted to 1000 persons via e-mail
- Data collected over a three months period
- Goal: 30-50% respondents

Results

- 510 respondents
- 128 producers and farmers
- 55,000 entries to assess in Excel


Is the Program having an Impact?



Number of Respondents
Total 510

84% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Impact of Program for Priority Diseases



Number of Respondents
Total 510

86.5% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 510


90.4% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

As we plan the future direction of our research program for the next 5 years, what are our strengths and what activities or research should ARS continue to have maximum impact?

Sample responses:

- Maintain and recruit top scientists. Encourage interaction with industry to better understand disease in the field.
- Continue to focus on emerging diseases, foreign animal diseases and zoonotic diseases
- Strength is that ARS seems focused on real solutions to problems, not just studying something interesting. It is an outcome focused program.
- Work to smooth pathway of taking new technology into the market place.
- More support of integrated projects with producer guidance to impact health management practices
- Please continue to research effective prevention and control programs for regulated diseases as well as perform original research to determine how diseases are transmitted.

Producers and Farmers Program Impact Last 5-Years




Number of Respondents
Total 128

83% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Universities


Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 154

94% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


U.S Government Agencies Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 24

87.5% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


State Governments Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 18

100% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Pharmaceutical Companies Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 51

92% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Program Impact: Beef Stakeholders



93% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Beef Stakeholders


Program Impact for Priority Diseases



Number of Respondents
Total 57

89% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Beef Stakeholders Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 57


97% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Beef Priority Diseases




Number of Respondents
Total 57

National Disease Priorities Beef Stakeholders



Number of Respondents
Total 57


Program Impact: Poultry Stakeholders



Number of Respondents
Total 54

94% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Poultry Stakeholders Program Impact for Priority Diseases



Number of Respondents
Total 54

91% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Poultry Stakeholders Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 54


96% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Poultry Priority Diseases




Number of Respondents
Total 54

National Disease Priorities Poultry Stakeholders



Number of Respondents
Total 54

Program Impact: Swine Stakeholders




Number of Respondents

Total 30

93% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Swine Stakeholders

Program Impact for Priority Diseases




Number of Respondents

Total 30

83% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Swine Stakeholders Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 30


87% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Swine Priority Diseases




Number of Respondents
Total 30

National Disease Priorities Swine Stakeholders



Number of Respondents
Total 30

Program Impact: Dairy Stakeholders




Number of Respondents
Total 212

84% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Dairy Stakeholders

Program Impact for Priority Diseases




Number of Respondents
Total 212

89% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Dairy Stakeholders


Program Impact Last 5-Years



Number of Respondents
Total 210


91% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Dairy Priority Diseases




Number of Respondents
Total 212

National Disease Priorities Dairy Stakeholders



Number of Respondents
Total 212


Program Impact: Sheep and Goats Stakeholders



Number of Respondents
Total 65

64% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Sheep and Goats Stakeholders Program Impact for Priority Diseases



Number of Respondents
Total 65

72% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Sheep and Goats Stakeholders Program Impact Last 5-Years




Number of Respondents


Total 65

80% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Sheep and Goats Disease Priorities



National Disease Priorities Sheep and Goats Stakeholders




Program Impact Wildlife and Captive Game Species



Number of Respondents
Total 14

64% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Wildlife and Captive Game Species Program Impact for Priority Diseases



Number of Respondents
Total 14

79% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact


Wildlife and Captive Game Species Program Impact Last 5-Years




Number of Respondents
Total 14

86% of respondent thought the program had at least some impact

Wildlife and Captured Game Species



Wildlife and Captured Game Species National Disease Priorities



Next Steps

- Include results of survey in the 2007-2011 National Program Assessment Report
- Distribute report to the 1000 stakeholders and partners that were contacted